## 8<sup>th</sup> Legislative District

176 Route 70, Suite 13 • Medford, NJ 08055 • Phone: (609) 654-1498 • Fax: (609) 654-4518



May 31, 2018 Contact: Brian Woods Media Advisory
Office: (609) 654-1498
Cell: (908) 347-5029

## ERT, CMPTRA Fact Sheet

- The Energy Tax Receipts Property Tax Relief Act was established in 1997 to collect taxes from utility companies gas, electric, telecommunications, sewerage, water which use municipal right of ways. It was previously referred to as gross receipts and franchise tax.
- Consolidated Municipal Property Tax Relief Aid was established in 1995 as the consolidation of 14 separate programs related to various fees, such as Financial Business Tax, Business Personal Property Tax and Class II Railroad Tax
- ERT related taxes, and most of CMPTRA related taxes, used to be collected by municipalities. The state took over collection to provide year-over-year stability and more timely collection, with the promise of redistributing the taxes to municipalities.
- Not long after, New Jersey started to skim off the top of these municipal relief funds to balance the state budget and started referring to the municipal revenue funds as state aid for municipalities.
- Both ERT and CMPTRA are written to be funded at the same levels of the previous year, plus yearly inflation, but state budget language has trumped the original intentions of each fund.
- In 2002, a poison pill was enacted for the ERT that would revoke the state's right to collect the taxes if it failed to redistribute to municipalities the same amount as the previous year plus annual inflation. The same poison pill was not enacted for CMPTRA.
- Since CMPTRA does not have a poison pill attached, each year the state takes money from both funds, but replenishes the ERT with money from CMPTRA so ERT doesn't reach the poison pill limit.
- This practice reached a fever pitch in 2011 when then Gov. Chris Christie slashed CMPTRA by \$272 million.
- Since the poison pill was enacted in 2002, 8<sup>th</sup> District municipalities have been underfunded by about \$146 million.
- Two of the most underfunded townships include Pemberton Township at about \$26.3 million and Evesham at about \$23.5 million, since 2002.
- To bring funding levels up to where they would be, this year, if both programs were funded as intended since 2002, the state would need to add about \$990 million to property tax relief for its residents.

- The Property Taxpayer Protection Act calls for the state to return to 2009 levels plus inflation, which would increase CMPTRA by about \$384 million compared to 2019 projected levels.
- Under the proposed amendment, Burlington County would get back about \$12.2 million.
- Adding up the amount that was intended by law to be in CMPTRA verse what the state paid each year since 2002 accounts for a \$9.67 billion loss.

Sources: New Jersey Office of Legislative Affairs, New Jersey League of Municipalities

Warren	Union	Sussex	Somerset	Salem	Passaic	Ocean	Morris	Monmouth	Middlesex	Mercer	Hunterdon	Hudson	Gloucester	Essex	Cumberland	Cape May	Camden	Burlington	Bergen	Atlantic*	County	,
3,371,910	42,033,126	4,660,208	8,375,310	5,130,348	53,765,705	10,436,562	14,630,832	25,188,097	44,700,476	61,202,946	3,522,954	94,236,289	8,851,190	132,432,801	10,112,115	989,646	65,054,090	13,577,462	24,569,360	4,268,660	Allocation	FY2009 CMPTRA
3,456,208	43,083,954	4,776,713	8,584,693	5,258,607	55,109,848	10,697,476	14,996,603	25,817,799	45,817,988	62,733,020	3,611,028	96,592,196	9,072,470	135,743,621	10,364,918	1,014,387	66,680,442	13,916,899	25,183,594	4,375,377	2.5% Index)	FY2019 Full Funding (FY2009 Base and
427,897	8,706,580	502,047	639,880	1,280,974	14,637,957	312,232	1,298,100	9,760,688	8,360,977	31,581,303	435,922	33,219,954	808,382	45,103,326	2,224,018	55,807	66,596,763	1,724,354	2,141,174	33,496,550	CMPTRA Allocation	Actual FY2019
3,028,311	34,377,374	4,274,666	7,944,813	3,977,633	40,471,891	10,385,244	13,698,503	16,057,111	37,457,011	31,151,717	3,175,106	63,372,242	8,264,088	90,640,295	8,140,900	958,580	83,679	12,192,545	23,042,420	(29,121,174)	FY2019 CMPTRA	Difference between Actual and Proposed

received. Note: Positive value indicates that more CMPTRA would be receieved under proposal; negative value (red) indicates that less CMPTRA would be

<sup>\*</sup> Difference due to Atlantic City CMPTRA, which received \$0 in FY2009 and about \$33 million in FY2019

8th District Towns

00 6 424 941 00	1467/120.00	_ ·	140.761.00	s v	\$ 130,558.00	9 9	124,871.00	v v	98,797.00	 	137,778.00	<b>⟨</b> ⟩ ⟨	Woodland
ŏ	\$ 804,131.00 \$ 422,728.00	n vs	765,120.00	ሉ ላ	\$ 698,300.00	8 8	660,744.00 363 670 00	ሉ ላ	517,381.00	· ሉ‹›	378,312.00	<i>ሉ</i> ላ›	Waterford
Ş	\$ 255,791.00	Ś	250,775.00	\$	\$ 237,243.00	00	224,134.00	❖	209,249.00	- ⟨>	223,046.00	\$	Springfield
\$ 00	551,111.00	⋄	540,305.00	↔	\$ 522,034.00	.00	500,363.00	↔	486,725.00	- د>	364,804.00	\$	Southampton
\$	376,233.00	٠ ج	884.00	\$	\$ 346.00	.00	330,277.00	Ş	281,738.00	- \$	264,500.00	<b>\$</b>	Shamong
\$	\$ 533.00	٠ د	523.00	↔	\$ 505.00	00	495.00	❖	495,00	₹	465.00	❖	Pine Valley
\$ 00	723,029.00	Ş	688,721.00	\$	\$ 630,227.00	00	597,855.00	⋄	423,422.00	٠ ج	306,401.00	\$	Pine Hill
ŏ \$	\$ 2,469,705.00	Ş	2,350,229.00	<b>\$</b>	\$ 2,146,912.00	00	2,035,226.00	\$	1,380,126.00	❖	1,006,700.00	\$	Pemberton Township
\$	81,819.00	٠ ج	77,723.00	<b>⇔</b>	\$ 70,778.00	.00	67,018.00	∿	35,506.00	- ب	89,586.00	\$	Pemberton Borough
Ş Ö	1,201,993.00	Ş	1,140,718.00	Ś	\$ 1,036,635.00	.00	979,920.00	s	561,073.00	Ś	353,707.00	\$	Mount Holly
Š	\$ 270,177.00	\$	258,667.00	<>>	\$ 239,005.00	.00	228,011.00	₩	183,578.00	₹,	251,683.00	\$	Medford Lakes
\$	1,302,141.00	₹.	1,237,390.00	↔	\$ 1,126,156.00	.00	1,063,043.00	s	887,567.00	Ś	654,297.00	\$	Medford
ŏ \$	215,806.00	\$	211,575.00	❖	\$ 204,420.00	00	200,412.00	❖	200,412.00	\$	175,574.00	\$	Mansfield
Š	523,832.00	↔	513,561.00	↔	\$ 496,194.00	.00	486,465.00	↔	486,465.00	Ś	395,877.00	<b>\$</b>	Lumberton
00 \$ 1,007,911.00	\$ 995,950.00	Ś	948,961.00	s	\$ 868,750.00	00	824,168.00	s	598,827.00	Ş	433,377.00	\$	Hammonton
₹ 5	392,658.00	Ś	281,977.00	↔	\$ 259,372.00	.00	246,513.00	↔	216,378.00	₹	198,553.00	<b>\$</b>	Hainesport
)0 \$ 2,248,214.00	2,220,650.00	Ś	2,112,173.00	Ś	\$ 1,926,220.00	.00	1,821,431.00	Ś	1,451,398.00	\$	1,063,564.00	Ş	Evesham
Ş	329,078.00	Ś	314,182.00	\$	\$ 288,618.00	00	274,122.00	<b>⊹</b> >	236,639.00	·>	221,382.00	\$	Eastampton
⊙	394,584.00	\$	372,444.00	Ś	\$ 333,949.00	.00	312,448.00	\$	218,941.00	<>	221,853.00	\$	Berlin Borough
14	2014	<u>~</u>	2013		2012	2011	2	픡	2010	-	2009		CMPTR Aid Reduction

CMPTRA levels to the levels they were intended to be funded at since the 2002 poison pill (Prior year plus inflation). \*This list shows the amount of aid municipalities have missed out on since 2009 when comparing actual

Source: Office of Legislative Services

8th District Towns

CMPTR Aid Reduction		2016		2017		2018		2019		Total	***************************************
Berlin Borough	s	417,199.00	ςς.	41,719.00	٠,	422,884.00	ψ.	448,864.00	٠,	3,585,147.00	
Eastampton	s	339,198.00	❖	338,198.00	⋄	339,889.00	Ϋ́	348,387.00	↔	3,362,553.00	
Evesham	₹\$	2,330,360.00	S	2,330,360.00	\$	2,357,994.00	Ś	2,438,207.00	\$	22,300,571.00	
Hainesport	↔	298,533.00	Ś	298,533.00	Ś	300,026.00	❖	307,526.00	↔	2,994,190.00	
Hammonton	ς,	1,043,802.00	\$	1,043,802.00	S	1,055,807.00	❖	1,115,297.00	Ş	9,936,652.00	
Lumberton	⋄	534,348.00	⋄	534,348.00	Ş	537,020.00	Ś	550,446.00	Ŷ	5,585,008.00	
Mansfield	Ş	220,139.00	\$	220,136.00	Ş	221,239.00	❖	226,770.00	Ś	2,313,368.00	
Medford	\$	1,341,333.00	₩.	1,341,333.00	Ş	1,348,039.00	\$	1,381,740.00	S	13,001,619.00	
Medford Lakes	\$	281,872.00	❖	281,872.00	❖	284,813.00	♦	299,354.00	Ś	2,852,137.00	
Mount Holly	\$	1,264,946.00	₹,	1,264,946.00	♦	1,280,637.00	⟨>	1,359,373.00	Ş	11,661,573.00	
Pemberton Borough	٠	86,041.00	❖	86,041.00	❖	87,091.00	<b>⇔</b>	92,385.00	S	856,853.00	
Pemberton Tównship	\$	2,601,031.00	⟨>	2,601,031.00	₩	2,622,606.00	₩.	2,775,297.00	₩	24,489,020.00	
Pine Hill	Ş	758,038.00	↔	758,038.00	\$	766,809.00	S	810,400.00	S	7,194,706.00	
Pine Valley	s	544.00	↔	544.00	Ś	547.00	❖	560.00	↔	5,747.00	
Shamong	Ś	407,491.00	❖	407,491.00	s	409,528.00	V	419,767.00	\$	3,291,606.00	
Southampton	\$	562,175.00	↔	562,175.00	↔	564,986.00	❖	579,110.00	↔	5,787,655.00	
Springfield	Ş	260,926.00	\$	260,926.00	❖	262,230.00	Ś	268,794.00	<b>₩</b>	2,710,184.00	
Waterford	Ś	843,637.00	❖	843,637.00	Ś	853,581.00	S	893,794.00	Ş	8,072,684.00	
Westampton	Ś	431,214.00	❖	431,214.00	Ś	433,370.00	s	444,204.00	❖	4,377,921.00	
Woodland	\$	152,829.00	\$	152,829.00	\$	154,359.00	\$	161,945.00	Ś	1,549,731.00	
*10.7									\$	135,928,925.00	

\*This list shows the amoun CMPTRA levels to the level:

Source: Office of Legislative

• •			End Empline Colonial and Comment Vision Matton	End Emdine Colonia
(202,200,002)	3 1 2 2 3 2 3 2 3		nowly acquired inform	Rold fant indicates
(989 986 669)	1.253.301.554	2.5%	263,314,885	2019
(947,169,468)	1,222,733,223	0.5%	275,563,755	2018 *
(964,433,511)	1,216,649,974	0.0%	252,216,463	2017 *
(982,663,511)	1,216,649,974	1.5%	233,986,463	2016 *
(947,975,954)	1,198,669,925	0.5%	250,693,971	2015
(936,470,945)	1,192,706,393	2.0%	256,235,448	2014
(961,918,316)	1,169,319,993	3.5%	207,401,677	2013 *
(885,632,487)	1,129,777,771	2.0%	244,145,284	2012
(842,895,318)	1,107,625,266	0.0%	264,729,948	2011
(571,459,483)	1,107,625,266	6.5%	536,165,783	2010
(408,913,637)	1,040,023,724	5.0%	631,110,087	2009
(279,803,373)	990,498,784	5.5%	710,695,411	2008
(184,956,374)	938,861,407	4.5%	753,905,033	2007
(109,170,560)	898,431,968	3.5%	789,261,408	2006
(51,411,293)	868,050,211	2.5%	816,638,918	2005
(30,239,337)	846,878,255	1.0%	816,638,918	2004
(3,201,773)	838,493,321	2.5%	835,291,548	2003
17,249,283	818,042,265	4.0%	835,291,548	2002
353,660	786,579,101	2.5%	786,932,761	2001
325,464	767,394,245	1.5%	767,719,709	2000
ı	1	t	756,053,443	1999
Annual Difference	Statewide CMPTRA	Inflation Rate	Allocation	Fiscal Year
	Fully Funded		Statewide CMPTRA	

Full Funding Calculation: (Current Year CMPTRA) = (Prior Year CMPTRA) X (1 + Inflation Rate)

Source: Office of Legislative Services

<sup>\*</sup> Actual CMPTRA total does not include amounts of Transitional Aid received as CMPTRA during the year.